Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,314	SOUTHARD, GLEN E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.	(3)	
(2) Francis Cooch (Appl. Rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 October 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none	,	
Claims discussed: 56		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	·	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI It was agreed to cancel claim 56 since it was an exact duplicate allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a wr	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)